arXiv:1211.0724v1 [math.NT] 4 Nov 2012

MULTIDIMENSIONAL EXPONENTIAL DIVISOR FUNCTION OVER GAUSSIAN INTEGERS

ANDREW V. LELECHENKO

Abstract. Let $\tau_k^{(e)}\colon \mathbb{Z}\to\mathbb{Z}$ be a multiplicative function such that $\tau_k^{(e)}(p^a) = \sum_{d_1\cdots d_k=a} 1$. In the present paper we introduce generalizations of $\tau_k^{(e)}$ over the ring of Gaussian integers $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. We determine their maximal orders by proving a general result and establish asymptotic formulas for their average orders.

1. Introduction

Exponential divisor function $\tau^{(e)}\colon \mathbb{Z}\to\mathbb{Z}$ introduced by Subbarao in [8] is a multiplicative function such that

$$\tau^{(e)}(p^a) = \tau(a),$$

where $\tau\colon \mathbb{Z}\to\mathbb{Z}$ stands for the usual divisor function, $\tau(n) = \sum_{d\mid n} 1$. Erdős estimated its maximal order and Subbarao proved an asymptotic formula for $\sum_{n\leqslant x} \tau^{(e)}(n)$. Later Wu [12] gave more precise estimate:

$$\sum_{n\leqslant x} \tau^{(e)}(n) = Ax + Bx^{1/2} + O(x^{\theta_{1,2}+\varepsilon}),$$

where A and B are computable constants, $\theta_{1,2}$ is an exponent in the error term of the estimate $\sum_{ab^2\leqslant x} 1 = \zeta(2)x + \zeta(1/2)x^{1/2} + O(x^{\theta_{1,2}+\varepsilon})$. The best modern result [2] is that $\theta_{1,2} \leqslant 1057/4785$.

One can consider multidimensional exponential divisor function $\tau_k^{(e)}\colon \mathbb{Z}\to\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\tau_k^{(e)}(p^a) = \tau_k(a),$$

where $\tau_k(n)$ is a number of ordered k-tuples of positive integers $(d_1,\ldots,d_k)$ such that $d_1\cdots d_k = n$. So $\tau^{(e)} \equiv \tau_2^{(e)}$. Toth [11] investigated asymptotic properties of $\tau_k^{(e)}$ and proved that for arbitrarily $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\sum_{n\leqslant x} \tau_k^{(e)}(n) = C_k x + x^{1/2} S_{k-2}(\log x) + O(x^{w_k+\varepsilon}),$$

where S_{k-2} is a polynomial of degree $k-2$ and $w_k = (2k-1)/(4k+1)$.

In the present paper we generalize multidimensional exponential divisor function over the ring of Gaussian integers $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Namely we introduce multiplicative functions $\tau_{k*}^{(e)}\colon \mathbb{Z}\to\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathfrak{t}_k^{(e)}, \mathfrak{t}_{k*}^{(e)}\colon \mathbb{Z}[i]\to\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\tau_{k*}^{(e)}(p^a) = \mathfrak{t}_k(a), \qquad \mathfrak{t}_k^{(e)}(\mathfrak{p}^a) = \tau_k(a), \qquad \mathfrak{t}_{k*}^{(e)}(\mathfrak{p}^a) = \mathfrak{t}_k(a), \tag{1}$$

where p is prime over $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathfrak{p}$ is prime over $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, $\mathfrak{t}_k(a)$ is a number of ordered k-tuples of non-associated in pairs Gaussian integers $(\mathfrak{d}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{d}_k)$ such that $\mathfrak{d}_1\cdots\mathfrak{d}_k = a$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11A05, 11N37, 11N56, 11R16.

Key words and phrases. Exponential divisor function, multidimensional divisor function, Gaussian integers, average order, maximal order.

The aims of this paper are to determine maximal orders of $\tau_k^{(e)}$, $\tau_{k*}^{(e)}$, $\mathfrak{t}_k^{(e)}$, $\mathfrak{t}_{k*}^{(e)}$ and to provide asymptotic formulas for $\sum_{n\leqslant x} \tau_{k*}^{(e)}(n)$, $\sum'_{N(\alpha)\leqslant x} \mathfrak{t}_k^{(e)}(\alpha)$, $\sum'_{N(\alpha)\leqslant x} \mathfrak{t}_{k*}^{(e)}(\alpha)$. A theorem on the maximal order of multiplicative functions over $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, generalizing [9], is also proved.

2. Notation

Let us denote the ring of Gaussian integers by $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, $N(a+bi)=a^2+b^2$.

In asymptotic relations we use $\sim$, $\asymp$, Landau symbols O and o, Vinogradov symbols $\ll$ and $\gg$ in their usual meanings. All asymptotic relations are written for the argument tending to the infinity.

Letters $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{q}$ with or without indexes denote Gaussian primes; p and q denote rational primes.

As usual $\zeta(s)$ is Riemann zeta-function and $L(s,\chi)$ is Dirichlet L-function. Let χ_4 be the single nonprincipal character modulo 4, then

$$Z(s)=\zeta(s)L(s,\chi_4)$$

is Hecke zeta-function for the ring of Gaussian integers.

Real and imaginary components of the complex s are denoted as $\sigma := \Re s$ and $t := \Im s$, so $s=\sigma+it$.

We use abbreviations $\operatorname{llog} x := \log\log x$, $\operatorname{lllog} x := \log\log\log x$.

Notation $\sum'$ means a summation over non-associated elements of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, and $\prod'$ means the similar relative to multiplication. Notation $a \sim b$ means that a and b are associated, that is $a/b \in \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$. But in asymptotic relations $\sim$ preserve its usual meaning.

Letter γ denotes Euler–Mascheroni constant. Everywhere $\varepsilon > 0$ is an arbitrarily small number (not always the same).

We write $f \star g$ for the notation of the Dirichlet convolution

$$(f \star g)(n) = \sum_{d\mid n} f(d) g(n/d).$$

3. Preliminary lemmas

We need following auxiliary results.

Lemma 1 (Gauss criterion)**.** *Gaussian integer* $\mathfrak{p}$ *is prime if and only if one of the following cases complies:*

- $\mathfrak{p} \sim 1+i$,
- $\mathfrak{p} \sim p$, *where* $p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$,
- $N(\mathfrak{p}) = p$, *where* $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$.

In the last case there are exactly two non-associated $\mathfrak{p}_1$ *and* $\mathfrak{p}_2$ *such that* $N(\mathfrak{p}_1) = N(\mathfrak{p}_2) = p$.

Proof. See [1, §34]. ■

Lemma 2.

$$\sum_{N(\mathfrak{p})\leqslant x}{}' 1 \sim \frac{x}{\log x}, \tag{2}$$

$$\sum_{N(\mathfrak{p})\leqslant x}{}' \log N(\mathfrak{p}) \sim x, \tag{3}$$

Proof. Taking into account Gauss criterion and the asymptotic law of the distribution of primes in the arithmetic progression we have

$$\sum_{N(\mathfrak{p})\leqslant x}{}' 1 \sim \#\{p \mid p\equiv 3 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 4), p\leqslant \sqrt{x}\} + 2\#\{p \mid p\equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 4), p\leqslant x\} \sim$$

$$\sim \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\phi(4)\log x/2} + 2\frac{x}{\phi(4)\log x} = \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

A partial summation with the use of (2) gives us the second statement of the lemma. ■

Lemma 3. *For $k\geqslant 2$*

$$\max_{n\geqslant 1}\frac{\log\tau_k(n)}{n} = \frac{\log k}{2}, \tag{4}$$

Proof. Taking into account

$$\tau_k(p^a) = \binom{k+a-1}{a} \leqslant k^a,$$

for $\Omega(n) := \sum_{p^a || n} a$ we have $\tau_k(n)\leqslant k^{\Omega(n)}\leqslant k^{\log_2 n}$. This implies

$$\frac{\log\tau_k(n)}{n} \leqslant \frac{\log_2 n}{n}\log k \leqslant \frac{\log k}{2},$$

because $n^{-1}\log_2 n$ is strictly decreasing for $n\geqslant 2$. But

$$\frac{\log\tau_k(2)}{2} = \frac{\log k}{2}.$$

■

Lemma 4. *For $k\geqslant 2$*

$$\max_{n\geqslant 1}\frac{\log \mathfrak{t}_k(n)}{n} = \frac{1}{2}\log\binom{k+1}{2}. \tag{5}$$

Proof. Let $k_2 := \binom{k+1}{2}$. Lemma 1 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{t}_k(2^a) &= \binom{k+2a-1}{2a} \leqslant k_2^a,\\
\mathfrak{t}_k(p^a) &= \binom{k+a-1}{a} \leqslant k^a \leqslant k_2^a \qquad \text{if } p\equiv 3 \pmod 4,\\
\mathfrak{t}_k(p^a) &= \binom{k+a-1}{a}^2 \leqslant k^{2a} \qquad \text{if } p\equiv 1 \pmod 4.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us define

$$\Omega_1(n) := \sum_{\substack{p^a || n\\ p\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\ 4)}} a, \quad \Omega_2(n) := \sum_{\substack{p^a || n\\ p\not\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\ 4)}} a.$$

Then $\mathfrak{t}_k(n)\leqslant k^{2\Omega_1(n)}k_2^{\Omega_2(n)}$. Consider

$$f(x,y) = \frac{x\log k^2 + y\log k_2}{5^x 2^y},$$

then $n^{-1}\log\mathfrak{t}_k(n)\leqslant f(\Omega_1(n),\Omega_2(n))$. One can verify that if $x\geqslant 1$ or $y\geqslant 1$ then

$$f(x+1,y)\leqslant f(x,y), \qquad f(x,y+1)\leqslant f(x,y),$$

because $\log k_2 + \log k^2 < 5\log k_2$. So

$$\max_{x,y\geqslant 0} f(x,y) = \max\bigl\{f(1,0), f(0,1)\bigr\} = \frac{\log k_2}{2}.$$

But

$$\frac{\log \mathfrak{t}_k(2)}{2} = \frac{\log k_2}{2}.$$

■

Lemma 5. *Let* $F\colon \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ *be a multiplicative function such that* $F_k(p^a) = f(a)$*, where* $f(n) \ll n^\beta$ *for some* $\beta > 0$*. Then*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log F_k(n) \operatorname{llog} n}{\log n} = \sup_{n\geqslant 1} \frac{\log f(n)}{n}.$$

Proof. See [9]. ■

Lemma 6. *Let* $f(t) \geqslant 0$*. If*

$$\int_1^T f(t)\,dt \ll g(T),$$

where $g(T) = T^\alpha \log^\beta T$*,* $\alpha \geqslant 1$*, then*

$$I(T) := \int_1^T \frac{f(t)}{t} dt \ll \begin{cases} \log^{\beta+1} T & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ T^{\alpha-1} \log^\beta T & \text{if } \alpha > 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let us divide the interval of integration into parts:

$$I(T) \leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{\log_2 T} \int_{T/2^{k+1}}^{T/2^k} \frac{f(t)}{t} dt < \sum_{k=0}^{\log_2 T} \frac{1}{T/2^{k+1}} \int_1^{T/2^k} f(t) dt \ll \sum_{k=0}^{\log_2 T} \frac{g(T/2^k)}{T/2^{k+1}}.$$

Now the lemma's statement follows from elementary estimates. ■

Lemma 7. *Let* $T > 10$ *and* $|d - 1/2| \ll 1/\log T$*. Then we have the following estimates*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{d-iT}^{d+iT} |\zeta(s)|^4 \frac{ds}{s} &\ll \log^5 T, \\ \int_{d-iT}^{d+iT} |L(s,\chi_4)|^4 \frac{ds}{s} &\ll \log^5 T, \end{aligned}$$

for growing T*.*

Proof. The statement is the result of the application of Lemma 6 to the estimates [6, Th. 10.1, p. 75].

Lemma 8. *Define* $\theta > 0$ *such that* $\zeta(1/2 + it) \ll t^\theta$ *as* $t \to \infty$*, and let* $\eta > 0$ *be arbitrarily small. Then*

$$\zeta(s) \ll \begin{cases} |t|^{1/2-(1-2\theta)\sigma}, & \sigma \in [0, 1/2], \\ |t|^{2\theta(1-\sigma)}, & \sigma \in [1/2, 1-\eta], \\ |t|^{2\theta(1-\sigma)} \log^{2/3} |t|, & \sigma \in [1-\eta, 1], \\ \log^{2/3} |t|, & \sigma \geqslant 1. \end{cases}$$

The same estimates are valid for $L(s, \chi_4)$ *also.*

Proof. The statement follows from Phragmén—Lindelöf principle, exact and approximate functional equations for $\zeta(s)$ and $L(s, \chi_4)$. See [4] and [10] for details. ■

The best modern result [3] is that $\theta \leqslant 32/205 + \varepsilon$.

4. Main results

First we give maximal orders of $\tau_k^{(e)}$, $\tau_{k*}^{(e)}$, $\mathfrak{t}_k^{(e)}$ and $\mathfrak{t}_{k*}^{(e)}$.

The following theorem generalizes Lemma 5 to Gaussian integers; the proof's outline follows the proof of Lemma 5 in [9].

Theorem 1. *Let $F\colon \mathbb{Z}[i] \to \mathbb{C}$ be a multiplicative function such that $F(\mathfrak{p}^a) = f(a)$, where $f(n) \ll n^\beta$ for some $\beta > 0$. Then*

$$\limsup_{\alpha\to\infty} \frac{\log F(\alpha) \operatorname{llog} N(\alpha)}{\log N(\alpha)} = \sup_{n\geqslant 1} \frac{\log f(n)}{n} := K_f.$$

Proof. Let us fix arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$.

Firstly, let us show that there are infinitely many α such that

$$\frac{\log F(\alpha) \operatorname{llog} N(\alpha)}{\log N(\alpha)} > K_f - \varepsilon.$$

By definition of K_f we can choose l such that

$$\bigl(\log f(l)\bigr)/l > K_f - \varepsilon/2.$$

It follows from (3) that for $x \geqslant 2$ inequality

$$\sideset{}{'}\sum_{N(\mathfrak{p})\leqslant x} \log N(\mathfrak{p}) > Ax$$

holds, where $0 < A < 1$.

Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be an arbitrarily large Gaussian prime, $N(\mathfrak{q}) \geqslant 2$. Consider

$$r = \sideset{}{'}\sum_{N(\mathfrak{p})\leqslant N(\mathfrak{q})} 1, \qquad \alpha = \sideset{}{'}\prod_{N(\mathfrak{p})\leqslant N(\mathfrak{q})} \mathfrak{p}^l.$$

Then $F(\alpha) = \bigl(f(l)\bigr)^r$ and we have

$$r \log N(\mathfrak{q}) \geqslant \frac{\log N(\alpha)}{l} = \sideset{}{'}\sum_{N(\mathfrak{p})\leqslant N(\mathfrak{q})} \log N(\mathfrak{p}) > AN(\mathfrak{q}), \tag{6}$$

$$\log F(\alpha) = r \log f(l) \geqslant \frac{\log N(\alpha)}{\log N(\mathfrak{q})} \frac{\log f(l)}{l}. \tag{7}$$

But (6) implies

$$\log A + \log N(\mathfrak{q}) < \log \frac{\log N(\alpha)}{l} \leqslant \operatorname{llog} N(\alpha),$$

so $\log N(\mathfrak{q}) < \operatorname{llog} N(\alpha) - \log A$. Then it follows from (7) that

$$\log F(\alpha) > \frac{\log N(\alpha)}{\operatorname{llog} N(\alpha) - \log A} \frac{\log f(l)}{l}$$

and since $\bigl(\log f(l)\bigr)/l > K_f - \varepsilon/2$ and $A < 1$ we have

$$\frac{\log F(\alpha) \operatorname{llog} N(\alpha)}{\log N(\alpha)} > \frac{\operatorname{llog} N(\alpha)}{\operatorname{llog} N(\alpha) - \log A}(K_f - \varepsilon/2) > K_f - \varepsilon.$$

Second, let us show the existence of $N(\varepsilon)$ such that for all $n \geqslant N(\varepsilon)$ we have

$$\frac{\log F(n) \operatorname{llog} N(\alpha)}{\log N(\alpha)} < (1+\varepsilon)K_f.$$

Let us choose $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon)$ and $\eta \in \bigl(0, \delta/(1+\delta)\bigr)$. Suppose $N(\alpha) \geqslant 3$, then we define

$$\omega := \omega(\alpha) = \frac{(1+\delta)K_f}{\operatorname{llog} N(\alpha)}, \qquad \Omega := \Omega(\alpha) = \log^{1-\eta} N(\alpha).$$

By choice of δ and η we have

$$\Omega^\omega = \exp(\omega \log \Omega) = \exp\bigl((1-\eta)(1+\delta)K_f\bigr) > e^{K_f}.$$

Suppose that the canonical expansion of α is

$$\alpha \sim \mathfrak{p}_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r} \mathfrak{q}_1^{b_1} \cdots \mathfrak{q}_s^{b_s},$$

where $N(\mathfrak{p}_k) \leqslant \Omega$ and $N(\mathfrak{q}_k) > \Omega$. Then

$$\frac{F(\alpha)}{N^\omega(\alpha)} = \prod_{k=1}^{r} \frac{f(a_k)}{N^{\omega a_k}(\mathfrak{p}_k)} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{s} \frac{f(b_k)}{N^{\omega b_k}(\mathfrak{q}_k)} := \Pi_1 \cdot \Pi_2 \tag{8}$$

But since $\Omega^\omega > e^{K_f}$ and $K_f \geqslant \bigl(\log f(b_k)\bigr)/b_k$ then

$$\frac{f(b_k)}{N^{\omega b_k}(q_k)} < \frac{f(b_k)}{\Omega^{\omega b_k}} < \frac{f(b_k)}{e^{K_f b_k}} \leqslant 1$$

and it follows that $\Pi_2 \leqslant 1$. Consider Π_1. From the statement of the theorem we have $f(n) \ll n^\beta$, so

$$\frac{f(a_k)}{N^{\omega a_k}(p_k)} \ll \frac{a_k^\beta}{(\omega a_k)^\beta} \ll \omega^{-\beta}.$$

Then

$$\log \Pi_1 \ll \Omega \log w^{-\beta} \ll \log^{1-\eta} N(\alpha) \operatorname{lllog} N(\alpha) = o\left(\frac{\log N(\alpha)}{\operatorname{llog} N(\alpha)} \right)$$

And finally by (8) we get

$$\log F(n) = \omega \log n + \log \Pi_1 + \log \Pi_2 = \frac{(1+\delta)K_f \log n}{\operatorname{llog} n} + \frac{(\varepsilon-\delta)K_f \log n}{\operatorname{llog} n}.$$

■

Theorem 2.

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log \tau_k^{(e)}(n) \operatorname{llog} n}{\log n} &= \frac{\log k}{2}, \\
\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log \tau_{k*}^{(e)}(n) \operatorname{llog} n}{\log n} &= \frac{1}{2} \log \binom{k+1}{2}, \\
\limsup_{\alpha\to\infty} \frac{\log \mathfrak{t}_k^{(e)}(\alpha) \operatorname{llog} N(\alpha)}{\log N(\alpha)} &= \frac{\log k}{2}, \\
\limsup_{\alpha\to\infty} \frac{\log \mathfrak{t}_{k*}^{(e)}(\alpha) \operatorname{llog} N(\alpha)}{\log N(\alpha)} &= \frac{1}{2} \log \binom{k+1}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Statements follow from (4), (5), Lemma 5 and Theorem 1. ■

A simple corollary of the Theorem 2 is that

$$\tau_{k*}^{(e)}(n) \ll n^\varepsilon, \qquad \mathfrak{t}_k^{(e)}(\alpha) \ll N^\varepsilon(\alpha), \qquad \mathfrak{t}_{k*}^{(e)}(\alpha) \ll N^\varepsilon(\alpha). \tag{9}$$

We are ready to provide asymptotic formulas for sums of $\tau_{k*}^{(e)}(n)$, $\mathfrak{t}_k^{(e)}(\alpha)$, $\mathfrak{t}_{k*}^{(e)}(\alpha)$. Let us denote

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{k*}(s) &:= \sum_n \tau_{k*}^{(e)}(n) n^{-s}, & T_{k*}(x) &:= \sum_{n \leqslant x} \tau_{k*}^{(e)}(n), \\
F_k(s) &:= \sum_\alpha{}' \mathfrak{t}_k^{(e)}(\alpha) N^{-s}(\alpha), & M_k(x) &:= \sum_{N(\alpha)\leqslant x}{}' \mathfrak{t}_k^{(e)}(\alpha), \\
F_{k*}(s) &:= \sum_\alpha{}' \mathfrak{t}_{k*}^{(e)}(\alpha) N^{-s}(\alpha), & M_{k*}(x) &:= \sum_{N(\alpha)\leqslant x}{}' \mathfrak{t}_{k*}^{(e)}(\alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 9.

$$\begin{aligned}
(10)\quad G_{k*}(s) &= \zeta(s)\zeta^{(k^2+k-2)/2}(2s)\zeta^{(-k^2+k)/2}(3s)\zeta^{(-k^4+7k^2-6k)/12}(4s)\times\\
&\times\ \zeta^{(5k^4-6k^3-5k^2+6k)/24}(5s)g_{k*}(s),\\
(11)\quad F_k(s) &= Z(s)Z^{k-1}(2s)Z^{(k-k^2)/2}(5s)Z^{(-k^3+6k^2-5k)/6}(6s)\times\\
&\times\ Z^{(k^3-4k^2+3k)/2}(7s)Z^{(3k^4-26k^3+57k^2-34k)/24}(8s)f_k(s),\\
(12)\quad F_{k*}(s) &= Z(s)Z^{(k^2+k-2)/2}(2s)Z^{(-k^2+k)/2}(3s)Z^{(-k^4+7k^2-6k)/12}(4s)\times\\
&\times\ Z^{(5k^4-6k^3-5k^2+6k)/24}(5s)f_{k*}(s),
\end{aligned}$$

where Dirichlet series $f_k(s)$ *are absolutely convergent for* $\Re s > 1/9$ *and Dirichlet series for* $f_{k*}(s)$, $g_{k*}(s)$ *are absolutely convergent for* $\Re s > 1/6$.

Proof. The statements can be directly verified with the help of the Bell series for corresponding functions. For example, for $\mathfrak{t}_k^{(e)}$ we have following representation:

$$\left(\sum_{a=0}^{\infty}\mathfrak{t}_k^{(e)}(\mathfrak{p}^a)x^a\right)(1-x)(1-x^2)^{k-1}(1-x^5)^{(k-k^2)/2}(1-x^6)^{(-k^3+6k^2-5k)/6}\times$$
$$\times(1-x^7)^{(k^3-4k^2+3k)/2}(1-x^8)^{(3k^4-26k^3+57k^2-34k)/24}=1+O(x^9).$$

Then (11) follows from the representation of F_k and Z in the form of infinite products by $\mathfrak{p}$:

$$F_k(s)=\prod_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\sum_{a=0}^{\infty}\mathfrak{t}_k^{(e)}(\mathfrak{p}^a)x^a\right),\qquad Z(s)=\prod_{\mathfrak{p}}(1-\mathfrak{p}^{-s})^{-1}.$$

Identities (10) and (12) can be proved the same way. ■

Let us define $\mathbf{a}:=(1,\underbrace{2,\ldots,2}_{l})$,

$$\tau(\mathbf{a};n):=\sum_{d_0d_1^2\cdots d_l^2=n}1,\qquad T(\mathbf{a};x):=\sum_{n\leqslant x}\tau(\mathbf{a};n)=\sum_{d_0d_1^2\cdots d_l^2\leqslant x}1,$$

Due to [5, Th. 6.10] we have

$$T(\mathbf{a};x)=C_1x+x^{1/2}Q(\log x)+O(x^{w_l+\varepsilon}),\tag{13}$$

where Q is a polynomial with computable coefficients, $\deg Q = l-1$, and $w_l \leqslant (2l+1)/(4l+5)$. For some special values of l better estimates of the error term can be obtained. For example, $w_1 \leqslant 1057/4785$ (see [2]) and $w_2 \leqslant 8/25$ due to [5, (6.16)].

Theorem 3.

$$T_{k*}(x)=A_kx+x^{1/2}P_k(\log x)O(x^{v_k+\varepsilon}),$$

where P_k *is a polynomial with computable coefficients,* $\deg P_k = (k^2+k-4)/2$, *and*

$$v_k=\max(w_{(k^2+k-2)/2},1/3).$$

Proof. Let $l=(k^2+k-2)/2$. Identity (10) implies

$$\tau_{k*}^{(e)}=\tau(\mathbf{a};\cdot)\star f,\qquad T_{k*}(x)=\sum_{n\leqslant x}T(\mathbf{a};x/n)f(n),\tag{14}$$

where series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}f(n)n^{-\sigma}$ are absolutely convergent for $\sigma>1/3$.

One can plainly estimate:

$$\sum_{n>x} \frac{f(n)}{n} \ll x^{-2/3+\varepsilon} \sum_{n>x} \frac{f(n)}{n^{1/3+\varepsilon}} \ll x^{-2/3+\varepsilon}, \tag{15}$$

$$\sum_{n>x} \frac{f(n)\log^a n}{n^{1/2}} \ll x^{-1/6+\varepsilon} \sum_{n>x} \frac{f(n)\log^a n}{n^{1/3+\varepsilon}} \ll x^{-1/6+\varepsilon}. \tag{16}$$

Substituting estimates (13), (15) and (16) into (14) we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_{k*}(x) = C_1 x \sum_{n\leqslant x} \frac{f(n)}{n} + x^{1/2} \sum_{n\leqslant x} \frac{f(n)Q(\log(x/n))}{n^{1/2}} + O(x^{w_l+\varepsilon}) + O(x^{1/3+\varepsilon}) = \\ = A_k x + x^{1/2} P_k(\log x) + O(x^{v_k+\varepsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 10.

$$\operatorname*{res}_{s=1} F_k(s)x^s/s = C_k x, \qquad \operatorname*{res}_{s=1} F_{k*}(s)x^s/s = C_{k*} x, \tag{17}$$

where

$$C_k = \frac{\pi}{4} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(1 + \sum_{a=2}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_k(a) - \tau_k(a-1)}{N^a(\mathfrak{p})} \right), \tag{18}$$

$$C_{k*} = \frac{\pi}{4} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(1 + \sum_{a=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mathfrak{t}_k(a) - \mathfrak{t}_k(a-1)}{N^a(\mathfrak{p})} \right). \tag{19}$$

Proof. As a consequence of the representation (11) we have

$$\frac{F_k(s)}{Z(s)} = \prod_{p} \left(1 + \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_k(a)}{N^{as}(\mathfrak{p})} \right) (1 - \mathfrak{p}^{-1}) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(1 + \sum_{a=2}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_k(a) - \tau_k(a-1)}{N^{as}(\mathfrak{p})} \right),$$

and so function $F_k(s)/Z(s)$ is regular in the neighbourhood of $s = 1$. At the same time we have

$$\operatorname*{res}_{s=1} Z(s) = L(1, \chi_4) \operatorname*{res}_{s=1} \zeta(s) = \frac{\pi}{4},$$

which implies (18). The proof of (19) is similar. ■

Numerical values of C_k and C_{k*} can be calculated in PARI/GP [7] with the use of the transformation

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} f\bigl(N(\mathfrak{p})\bigr) = f(2) \prod_{p=4k+1} f(p)^2 \prod_{p=4k+3} f(p^2)$$

due to Lemma 1. For example,

$$C_2 \approx 1{,}156\,101, \qquad C_{2*} \approx 1{,}524\,172.$$

Theorem 4.

$$M_k(x) = C_k x + O(x^{1/2} \log^{3+4(k-1)/3} x), \tag{20}$$

$$M_{k*}(x) = C_{k*} x + O(x^{1/2} \log^{3+2(k^2+k-2)/3} x), \tag{21}$$

where C_k and C_{k*} were defined in (18) *and* (19).

Proof. By Perron formula and by (9) for $c = 1 + 1/\log x$, $\log T \asymp \log x$ we have

$$M_k(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} F_k(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds + O\left(\frac{x^{1+\varepsilon}}{T} \right).$$

Suppose $d = 1/2 - 1/\log x$. Let us shift the interval of integration to $[d-iT, d+iT]$. To do this consider an integral about a closed rectangle path with vertexes in

$$d - iT,\ d + iT,\ c + iT \text{ and } c - iT.$$

There are two poles in $s = 1$ and $s = 1/2$ inside the contour. The residue at $s = 1$ was calculated in (17). The residue at $s = 1/2$ is equal to $Dx^{1/2}$, $D = \text{const}$ and will be absorbed by error term (see below).

Identity (11) implies

$$F_k(s) = Z(s)Z^{k-1}(2s)f_k(s),$$

where $f_k(s)$ is regular for $\Re s > 1/3$, so for each $\varepsilon > 0$ it is uniformly bounded for $\Re s > 1/3 + \varepsilon$.

Let us estimate the error term using Lemma 7 and Lemma 8. The error term absorbs values of integrals about three sides of the integration's rectangle. We take into account $Z(s) = \zeta(s)L(s, \chi_4)$. On the horizontal segments we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{d+iT}^{c+iT} Z(s)Z^{k-1}(2s)\frac{x^s}{s}ds &\ll \max_{\sigma\in[d,c]} Z(\sigma+iT)Z^{k-1}(2\sigma+2iT)x^{\sigma}T^{-1} \ll \\ &\ll x^{1/2}T^{2\theta-1}\log^{4(k-1)/3} T + xT^{-1}\log^{4/3} T,\end{aligned}$$

It is well-known that $\zeta(s) \sim (s-1)^{-1}$ in the neighborhood of $s = 1$. So on $[d, d+i]$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{d}^{d+i} Z(s)Z^{k-1}(2s)\frac{x^s}{s}ds \ll x^{1/2}\int_0^1 \zeta^{k-1}(2d+2it)dt \ll \\ \ll x^{1/2}\int_0^1 \frac{dt}{|it - 1/\log x|^{k-1}} \ll x^{1/2}\log^{k-1} x,\end{aligned}$$

and for the rest of the vertical segment we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{d+i}^{d+iT} Z(s)Z^{k-1}(2s)\frac{x^s}{s}ds \ll \\ &\ll \Big(\int_1^T |\zeta(1/2+it)|^4\frac{dt}{t}\int_1^T |L(1/2+it,\chi_4)|^4\frac{dt}{t}\Big)^{1/4}\Big(\int_1^T |Z(1+2it)|^{2(k-1)}\frac{dt}{t}\Big)^{1/2} \ll \\ &\ll x^{1/2}(\log^5 T\cdot\log^{8(k-1)/3+1} T)^{1/2} \ll x^{1/2}\log^{3+4(k-1)/3} T.\end{aligned}$$

The choice $T = x^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ finishes the proof of (20).

The proof of (21) is similar, but due to (12) one have replace $k-1$ by $(k^2+k-2)/2$. ∎

References

[1] Gauss C. F. Theoria residuorum biquadraticorum, Commentatio secunda // Commentationes soc. reg. sc. Gotting. recentiores. — 1832. — Vol. 7.

[2] Graham S. W., Kolesnik G. On the difference between consecutive squarefree integers // Acta Arith. — 1988. — Vol. 49, no. 5. — P. 435–447.

[3] Huxley M. N. Exponential sums and the Riemann zeta function V // Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. — 2005. — Vol. 90, no. 1. — P. 1–41.

[4] Ivić A. The Riemann zeta-function: Theory and applications. — Mineola, New York : Dover Publications, 2003. — 562 p.

[5] Krätzel E. Lattice points. — Dordrecht : Kluwer, 1988. — 436 p.

[6] Montgomery H. L. Topics in multiplicative number theory. — Springer Verlag, 1971. — Vol. 227. — 178 p.

[7] The PARI Group, Bordeaux. — PARI/GP, Version 2.6.0, 2012. — URL: `http://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr/`.

[8] Subbarao M. V. On some arithmetic convolutions // The theory of arithmetical functions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics. — Springer Verlag, 1972. — Vol. 251. — P. 247–271.

[9] Suryanarayana D., Rao R. Sita Rama Chandra. On the true maximum order of a class of arithmetic functions // Math. J. Okayama Univ. — 1975. — no. 17. — P. 95–101.

[10] Titchmarsh E. C. The theory of the Riemann zeta-function / Ed. by D. R. Heath-Brown. — 2^{nd}, rev. edition. — New-York : Oxford University Press, 1986. — 418 p.

[11] Tóth L. An order result for the exponential divisor function // Publ. Math. Debrecen. — 2007. — Vol. 71, no. 1-2. — P. 165–171.

[12] Wu J. Problème de diviseurs exponentiels et entiers exponentiellement sans facteur carré // J. Théor. Nombres Bordx. — 1995. — Vol. 7, no. 1. — P. 133–141.

I. I. Mechnikov Odessa National University
E-mail address: `1@dxdy.ru`